



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,944	07/07/2004	Andre Lechot	PRE-SA-0103	1169
33751	7590	03/05/2009	EXAMINER	
Greatbatch Ltd. 10,000 Wehrle Drive Clarence, NY 14031			SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mscalise@greatbatch.com  
bkozak@greatbatch.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/500,944	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LECHOT, ANDRE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Richard Shaffer	<b>Art Unit</b> 3775	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Shaffer. (3) John Moetteli.

(2) Eduardo Robert. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Salyer (US 6,001,105); Salyer et al (US 6,730,094).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, John Moetteli, contacted the Office to discuss a proposed claim amendment. It was discussed that applicant would have to support the use of a cutting plateau from the specification since language in the specification state the top portion of the cutting edge is indeed not flat. It was also discussed that the '105 Salyer reference includes by "rise portions" or "buttress portions."

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Richard Shaffer/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775	/Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733
--	---